IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION

JOHNNY JUSTIN POSTLES,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 1:24-cv-1081-STA-jay
MATTHEW ROBERT COURTNER,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ORDER OF DISMISSAL ORDER ON APPELLATE ISSUES

On November 26, 2024, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a report and recommendation (ECF No. 9) that the Court dismiss Plaintiff Johnny Justin Postles' Complaint for failure to state a claim. The Magistrate Judge's recommended disposition of the Motion would result in the dismissal of the action in its entirety. Plaintiff had 14 days from the service of the Magistrate Judge's report in which to file objections. Plaintiff has not objected to the report and its recommended conclusions of law, and the time to object has now expired.

Congress enacted 28 U.S.C. § 636 to relieve the burden on the federal judiciary by permitting the assignment of district court duties to magistrate judges. *See United States v. Curtis*, 237 F.3d 598, 602 (6th Cir. 2001) (citing *Gomez v. United States*, 490 U.S. 858, 869-70 (1989)); *see also Baker v. Peterson*, 67 F. App'x 308, 310 (6th Cir. 2003). The United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee adopted Administrative Order 2013-05 for this very purpose, referring all cases filed by non-prisoner plaintiffs to a United States Magistrate Judge for management of all pretrial matters. The Magistrate Judge has recommended that the

Court dismiss Plaintiff's sua sponte for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). While "a district judge must determine de novo any part of a Magistrate Judge's disposition that has been properly objected to," Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the district court is not required to review (under a de novo or any other standard) "any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). The district court should adopt the findings and rulings of the Magistrate Judge to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.* at 151.

In the absence of any objection to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation. This matter is hereby **DISMISSED**. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment.

The next issue to be addressed is whether the Court should authorize Plaintiff to appeal this decision *in forma pauperis*. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), an appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith. "Good faith' has been defined as a requirement that an appeal present a nonfrivolous question for review." *Cruz v. Hauck*, 404 U.S. 59, 62 (1971) (Douglas, J., concurring). The good faith standard is an objective one. *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). The same considerations that lead the Court to dismiss this case, the Complaint's failure to state a plausible claim and Plaintiff's failure to make any timely objection to the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation, also compel the conclusion that an appeal would not be taken in good faith. It is therefore **CERTIFIED**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal in this matter by Plaintiff would not be taken in good faith and Plaintiff may not proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit's decisions in *McGore v*. Wrigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601, 612–13 (6th Cir. 1997) and Floyd v. United States Postal Serv., 105

F.3d 274, 276 (6th Cir. 1997) apply to any appeal filed by Plaintiff in this case. If Plaintiff files a

notice of appeal, he must pay the entire \$605 filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1913 and 1917.

By filing a notice of appeal, Plaintiff becomes liable for the full amount of the filing fee, regardless

of the subsequent progress of the appeal. The entire filing fee must be paid within thirty (30) days

of the filing of the notice of appeal. If Plaintiff fails to comply with the above assessment of the

appellate filing fee within thirty (30) days⁴ of the filing of the notice of appeal or the entry of this

order, whichever occurred later, the Court will notify the Sixth Circuit, which will dismiss the

appeal. If the appeal is dismissed, it will not be reinstated once the fee is paid. McGore, 114 F.3d

at 610.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ **S. Thomas Anderson**S. THOMAS ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: December 17, 2024

⁴ The district court may extend this deadline one time by thirty (30) days if the motion to extend is filed before the expiration of the original deadline. *McGore*, 114 F.3d at 610.